

## CONTENTS

8	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	8.1
8.1	Introduction and background .....	8.1
8.2	Consultation and scope of the assessment.....	8.1
8.3	Methodology .....	8.1
8.4	Baseline Conditions .....	8.1
8.5	Assessment of landscape effects .....	8.1
8.6	Assessment of effects on visual amenity .....	8.1
8.7	Mitigation Measures .....	8.2
8.8	Residual Effects .....	8.2
8.9	Limitations to the study .....	8.2
8.10	Conclusions.....	8.2

## TABLES

N/A

## FIGURES

Figure 8.1A Viewpoint A Existing View  
Figure 8.2A Viewpoint A Proposed View  
Figure 8.3A Viewpoint B Existing View  
Figure 8.4A Viewpoint B Proposed View  
Figure 8.5A Viewpoint C Existing View  
Figure 8.6A Viewpoint C Proposed View  
Figure 8.7A Photomontages viewpoint location plan

## **8 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

### **8.1 Introduction and background**

8.1.1 The amendments to the consented development, as described in Chapter 3 of this ES Addendum have the potential to change the assessment of effects assessed in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) for the consented development (Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES) due to the building changes.

8.1.2 The LVIA Addendum assessment has been carried out by an experienced landscape architect employed by Wardell Armstrong LLP.

### **8.2 Consultation and scope of the assessment**

8.2.1 No additional consultation was carried out.

### **8.3 Methodology**

8.3.1 There are no updates to the assessment methodology and significance criteria described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES.

### **8.4 Baseline Conditions**

8.4.1 There are no updates to the baseline conditions described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES, other than the fact that construction of the development has started on site, therefore the landcover and land use of the site has changed from agricultural fields to a construction site. The dualling of the A1290 east of the southern entrance into IAMP One and the upgrading of the A19 junctions to the north-east is also now complete.

### **8.5 Assessment of landscape effects**

8.5.1 The proposed changes to the consented development are not considered to be of sufficient differences in scale to result in changes to the assessments made on the landscape character of the site or the study area. Therefore, there are no updates to effects described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES.

### **8.6 Assessment of effects on visual amenity**

8.6.1 This is to be read in conjunction with Figures 8.1A to 8.7A.

8.6.2 The overall footprint of the development has not altered, but parts of the building roofs are lower. The visualisations for Viewpoints A, B and C have been updated to illustrate the revised proposals for the development. These illustrate that the

proposed changes to the consented development are not considered to be of sufficient differences in scale to result in changes to the assessments made on the views experienced by the surrounding visual receptors. Therefore, there are no updates to effects described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES.

## **8.7 Mitigation Measures**

8.7.1 There are no additional mitigation measures required beyond those described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES.

## **8.8 Residual Effects**

8.8.1 There are no updates to the residual effects described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 ES.

## **8.9 Limitations to the study**

8.9.1 There have been no limitations to the study.

## **8.10 Conclusions**

8.10.1 There are no changes to the conclusions described in Chapter 8 of the June 2021 for permission 21/01764/HEA. The proposed changes to the scheme would not be of a sufficient scale to result in changes to the landscape and visual impact assessments.